



THE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF KENYA

MINUTES OF THE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

PREQUALIFICATION OF SUPPLIERS FOR THE FINANCIAL YEARS 2023-2025.

The Technical University of Kenya advertised the Prequalification in TUK website and PPIP on 20th April, 2023 for the Financial Years 2023-2025 with the intention of prequalifying suppliers in various categories.

The Ag Director Procurement and Supply Chain Management and the Chairman, briefed the Evaluation Committee on the procedures and requirements to be adhered to in the prequalification exercise.

An evaluation committee for Prequalification was duly appointed in line with the Public Procurement and Disposal Act, 2015 and Public Procurement and Disposal Regulations 2022, with a view to evaluate the suppliers who responded to the advertisement.

PREQUALIFICATION EVALUATION COMMITTEE

The Evaluation Committee carried out the prequalification exercise from 24th May to 29th May, 2023 and the Committee was composed of eight (8) evaluators each assigned a specific identification number as follows:

S/NO	EVALUATOR NAME	DESIGNATION	EVALUATOR NO
1	Mr. Stephen Nyamweya	Member	1
2	Ms. Pacific Diang'a	Member	2
3	Ms. Loreen Odondi	Member	3
4	Ms. Christine Kemuma	Member	4
5	Mr. Austin Otieno	Member	5
6	Mr. Philip Oduor	Member	6
7	Ms. Hellen Mumbo	Secretary	7
8	Mr. Wilberforce Kokonya	Member	8

The total number of Prequalification document which were received on the day of closing and opening were (668) booklets.

SUPPLIER EVALUATION CRITERIA

The Committee used the Mandatory Requirements form in Prequalification Tender Document as guideline to award marks. The evaluation exercise started on 24th May, 2023 and the Committee agreed to adopt the same criteria.

NO.	CRITERIA	Marks allocated	Marks scored
1	Mandatory Requirements:		
	a) Copy of Certificate of Registration / Incorporation		
	b) Copy of Current Tax Compliance Certificate		
	c) Copy of Pin Certificate		
	d) Copy of VAT Certificate		
	e) Must fill, sign and stamp forms PQ1, PQ2,PQ3,PQ4,PQ5,PQ6 & PQ7		
	f) Membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization e.g. NCA/PPB/NEMA/EATA/KATA/HP/IATA for PQ/A4, A5, A6, A16, B4,B5,B7,B8,B9,B11,B13,B18 and C1		
	g) Copy of Current Access to Government Procurement Opportunity Certificate (AGPO) for Special Groups		
	h) Attach Copy of Current Trading License		
2	Technical Evaluation Criteria		
a)	Provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment OR have the Reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided. (Provide Evidence).	10 Marks each per year of experience Or List of services and assignment done	20
b)	One-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender		15

	or Quotation; including delivery and logistics plans			
c)	Attach CVs in the format provided – and the Management and Proposed Staff.		15	
	Total Marks		50 Marks	

NOTE: TO BE PRE-QUALIFIED / REGISTERED, A PROSPECTIVE BIDDER MUST ATTAIN AT LEAST 25 MARKS, IN ADDITION TO MEETING ALL THE MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS.

GROUP A

SUPPLY & DELIVERY OF GRADUATION GOWNS, HOODS AND CAPS

TUK/PQ/A/1/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Graduation Gowns, Hoods and Caps

Nine (9) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1.	Bentha Enterprises Ltd
2.	Rivatex East Africa Ltd
3.	Tilatech Systems
4.	Janjos Services & Supplies
5.	Bayete Holdings (k) Ltd
6.	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd
7.	Seanik Enterprises Ltd
8.	Infallible Solutions
9.	Sypsan Enterprises

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1.	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Did not fill, sign and stamp forms PQ2, PQ3, PQ4 and PQ6.
2.	Seanik Enterprises Ltd	Did not fill, sign and stamp forms PQ4 and PQ6.

Two (2) bidders were disqualified at the technical evaluation criteria based on the following reason(s):

No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1.	Janjos Services and Suppliers	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
2.	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.

Five (5) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Rivatex East Africa Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Tilatech Systems	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6
4	Infallible Solutions	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
5	Sypsan Enterprises	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Five (5) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/2/2023/2025 – Supply & delivery of Draping Fabric Textile, Material, Curtains, Sheers, Table Linen and Accessories.

Thirteen (13 bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Njemwa General Supplies
2	Stepion Group Africa Ltd
3	Redmill Investments Ltd
4	Rivatex EA Ltd
5	Seanik Enterprises Ltd
6	Demosky Enterprises
7	Northolt Enterprises
8	Infallible solutions
9	Rency General Merchants
10	Sypsan Enterprises
11	Jubio (K) Limited
12	Transtrade Solutions
13	Janumet Limited

Four (4) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Demosky Enterprises	Did not attach copy of current trading license
2	Rency General Merchants	Did not fill sign and stamp forms pq1 to pq7.
3	Sypsan Enterprises	Did not attach copy of current tax compliance
4	Jubio (K) Limited	Did not attach copy of trading license

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of Technical evaluation as stipulated below:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Seanik Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done

Eight (8) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Njemwa General Supplies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
2	Stepion Group Africa Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Redmill Investments Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
4	Rivatex EA Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Northolt Enterprises	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
6	Infallible solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Transtrade Solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
8	Janumet Limited	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above eight (8) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/3/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Graduation Event management services (Tents, Decorations, Chairs, Public address system portable Toilets, TV Screen/provision of Audio visual live streaming Graduation services

Nine (9) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below

No	Name Company
1	Fachimo Florist
2	Jamsar Impression Ltd
3	Maxton Media Group Int’ Ltd
4	Being Creative Enterprises
5	Nama Events Ltd
6	Imark Enterprises
7	Mosound Events Ltd
8	Vintage Concepts Ltd
9	Sunflower Tent & Decor

One (1) bidders was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirement as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Being Creative Enterprises	Did not attach copy of Tax compliance certificate Did not attach copy of pin number certificate Did not attach copy of VAT certificate

Three (3) bidders were disqualified for lack of technical revaluation requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Fachimo Florist	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done Did not attach all the CVs
2	Nama Events Ltd	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
3	Imark Enterprises	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done

Five (5) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Jamsar Impression Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Maxton Media Group Int' Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	MO sound Events Ltd	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6
4	Vintage Concepts Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
5	Sunflower Tent & Decor	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Five (5) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/4/2023-2025–Supply & Delivery of Teaching Chemical, Reagents, Apparatus, Surgical Medical & Laboratory

Forty-two (42) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	KIPPO Ltd
2	Protist Lab (A) Ltd
3	Biocare Enterprises Ltd

4	Labshere Kenya Limited
5	F & S Scientific Ltd
6	Intersource Autolab Tech Ltd
7	Tamarinast Supplies Ltd
8	Villa Surgical & Equipment Ltd
9	Tilatech Systems
10	Apicalmed Ltd
11	Lifetech ECO Ltd
12	Clinical Precision Ltd
13	Medisure Lab Suppliers Ltd
14	Africa Biosystems Ltd
15	Inqaba Biotech (EA) Ltd
16	Lued (A) Chemicals Ltd
17	Bioquip Africa Ltd
18	Precise Lab Africa Ltd
19	Legacy lab Africa Ltd
20	Faram East Africa Ltd
21	Benic East Africa Ltd
22	Maxmack Ltd
23	Moris One Enterprises Ltd
24	Janjos Services & Supplies
25	Bentha Enterprises Ltd

26	Tender tech EA Technologies Ltd
27	High Technology Detection System (K) Ltd
28	Geobrerah Investments
29	Chem-Labs Ltd
30	DLA Scientific Ltd
31	Lakewood Construction Ltd
32	Chemoquip Ltd
33	Jazdental Supplies
34	Lizsol Chem Company Ltd
35	Utopia Medical Supplies Ltd
36	Physical Therapy Services Ltd
37	Sovereign Agencies
38	Transtrade Solutions
39	Gentech Services
40	Garog Diagnostics Ltd
41	Benchtop Lab Ltd
42	Footprint Enterprises Ltd

Eighteen (18) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Biocare Enterprises Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
2	Labshere Kenya Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
3	Intersource Autolab Tech Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
4	Tamarinast Supplies Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
5	Tilatech Systems	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
6	Apicalmed Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
7	Lifetech ECO Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
8	Inqaba Biotech (EA) Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
9	Bioquip Africa Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
10	Maxmack Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
11	Moris One Enterprises Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
12	Janjos Services & Supplies	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
13	High Technologies Detection systems (K)	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
14	Jazdental Supplies	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization

15	Transtrade Solutions	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
16	Gentech Services	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
17	Benchtop Lab Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization
18	Footprint Enterprises Ltd	Didn't attached membership in a professional body/relevant certificate in the area of specialization

Twenty-Four (24) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Kippo Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
2	Protist Lab (A) Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
3	F & S Scientific Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
4	Villa Surgical & Equipment Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
5	Clinical Precision Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
6	Medisure Lab Suppliers Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
7	Africa Biosystems Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
8	Lued (A) Chemicals Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	4..2
9	Precise Lab Africa Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
10	Legacy Lab Africa Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

11	Faram East Africa Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
12	Benic East Africa Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
13	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
14	Tender Tech EA Technologies Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
15	Geobrirah Investments	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.2
16	Chem Labs Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
17	DLA Scientific Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
18	Lakewood Construction Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
19	Chemoquip Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
20	Lizsol Chem Company Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
21	Utopia Medical Supplies Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
22	Physical Therapy Services Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
23	Sovereign Agencies	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
24	Garog Diagnostics Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Twenty-Four (24) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/5/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of Pharmaceutical Drugs

Sixteen (16) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1	Rangechem Pharmaceuticals Ltd
2	Pan Pharmaceuticals Ltd
3	Promed Pharmaceuticals Ltd
4	Villa Surgical and Equipments Ltd
5	Laboratory & Allied Ltd
6	Signature Healthcare ltd
7	Cloriti Pharmaceuticals (E.A) Ltd
8	Belmont Pharmaceuticals Ltd
9	Zengenta Pharmaceuticals Ltd
10	Simba Pharmaceuticals Ltd
11	Pharmasell Limited
12	Faram East Africa Ltd
13	Sai Pharmaceuticals Kenya Ltd
14	Pharmaco Healthcare Ltd
15	Veteran Pharmaceutical Ltd
16	Dial-Up Pharmaceutical Ltd

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Dial-Up Pharmaceutical Ltd	Attached three copies of the Registration/Incorporation certificate baring names of 3 different companies, namely: - Conspec Solutions, Dial-Up Pharmaceuticals and Gweth Construction and Engineering Limited. The tax compliance certificate was valid until 21 st February 2023.

Fifteen (15) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Rangechem Pharmaceuticals Ltd	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6
2	Pan Pharmaceuticals Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Promed Pharmaceuticals Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
4	Villa Surgical and Equipments Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Laboratory & Allied Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
6	Signature Healthcare ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Cloriti Pharmaceuticals (E.A) Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
8	Belmont Pharmaceutical Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
9	Zengenta Pharmaceutical Ltd	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6

10	Simba Pharmaceuticals Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
11	Pharmasell Limited	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
12	Faram East Africa Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
13	Sai Pharmaceuticals Kenya Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
14	Pharmaco Healthcare Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
15	Veteran Pharmaceutical Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Fifteen (15) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/6/2023-2025 – Supply, Installation and Repair of photocopiers, Desktop Computers, Laptops, Printers, Ups, Servers, Scanners, Projectors and other ICT hardware accessories.

Fifty-one (51) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Utuz Investments
2	Silver Data Systems Ltd
3	Magfre Enterprises Ltd

4	Banyatech Digital Solutions
5	Fullblast Ventures Ltd
6	Next Technologies Ltd
7	Leyza Enterprises Ltd
8	Netline Technologies Ltd
9	African Perfection Ltd
10	Fone-Data Communications Providers
11	Computer Links Distributors Ltd
12	Renzaki Ltd
13	Mushann Investment
14	Saava engineering Ltd
15	Naclens Ltd
16	Opendata Ltd
17	Jylite Investments
18	Highrise Designers Company Ltd

19	Northolt Enterprise Ltd
20	Technohub Technologies & Electronics Ltd
21	Stewan Computer Garage Ltd
22	Encrypt Computer Technologies Ltd
23	Copycat Ltd
24	Branded World Computers Systems Ltd
25	Massatech Kenya Ltd
26	Copierforce Kenya Ltd
27	Pluton ICT Ltd
28	Mac & More Solutions
29	Hembwo Holding Ltd
30	Setlak Computers
31	Jakensire Ventures Ltd
32	Capytech Supplies
33	Netco Ltd

34	Jaware Agencies
35	Sunsol Technologies
36	Diva Engineering
37	Wodex Technologies Ltd
38	Tawili Agencies
39	Emkan Enterprises
40	Infallible Solutions
41	Inam Enterprises Ltd
42	Crimson Computer Services Ltd
43	Computerways Ltd
44	Urban Strength Investments Ltd
45	Canet Services Ltd
46	Bayete Technologies Ltd
47	Guruit Technologies Ltd
48	Evrah Marketing & Supplies

49	Mahil Enterprises
50	Oslabs Ltd
51	Nameia Solutions Ltd

Fourteen (14) bidders were disqualified for lack of Mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Fullblast Ventures Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
2	Renzaki Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not put Company rubber in forms PQ4.
3	Mushann Investment	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
4	Naclens Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
5	Jylite Investments	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
6	Technohub Technologies & Electronics Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
7	Encrypt Computer Technologies Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization

8	Mac & More Solutions	<p>Didn't attach copy of Tax compliance certificate, copy of PIN certificate and copy of VAT certificate</p> <p>Did not fill, sign and put company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4, PQ5, PQ6, and PQ7</p> <p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
9	Jakensire Ventures Ltd	<p>Did not fill, sign and put company rubber in forms PQ4.</p> <p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
10	Capytech Supplies	<p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
11	Infallible Solutions	<p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
12	Bayete Technologies Ltd	<p>Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 25/03/2023</p> <p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
13	Guruit Technologies Ltd	<p>Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization</p>
14	Nameia Solutions Ltd	<p>Did not fill, sign and put company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4, PQ5, PQ6, and PQ7</p>

Thirty-seven (37) bidders prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Utuz Investments	35	35	35	3.5	3.5	35	35	35	35
2	Silver Data Systems Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
3	Magfre Enterprises Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
4	Banyatech Digital Solutions	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
5	Next Technologies Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
6	Leyza Enterprises Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
7	Netline Technologies Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	50
8	African Perfection Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
9	Fone-Data Communications Providers	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
10	Computer Links Distributors Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
11	Saava Engineering Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
12	Opendata Ltd	35	35	35	.35	35	35	35	35	35
13	Highrise Designers Company Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
14	Northolt Enterprise Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
15	Technohub Technologies & Electronics Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
16	Copycat Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
17	Branded World Computers Systems Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
18	Massatech Kenya Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
19	Copierforce Kenya Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
20	Pluton ICT Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25

21	Hembwo Holding Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
22	Setlak Computers	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
23	Netco Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
24	Jaware Agencies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
25	Sunsol Technologies	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
26	Diva Engineering	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
27	Wodex Technologies Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
28	Tawili Agencies	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
29	Emkan Enterprises	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
30	Inam Enterprises Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
31	Crimson Computer Services Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	40
32	Computerways Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
33	Urban Strength Investments Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
34	Canet Services Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
35	Evrach Marketing & Supplies	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
36	Mahil Enterprises	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
37	Oslabs Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above thirty-seven bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/7/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of General Office and Domestic Furniture Furnishing and Fittings.

Thirty-eight (38) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Bentha Enterprises Ltd
2	Janumet Limited
3	Tilatech Systems
4	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd
5	Pinnacore Kenya Ltd
6	Trenit Enterprises
7	Apicah Furniture Ltd
8	Alpha General Suppliers
9	Bigsell Supplies & Services
10	Jonjos Services & Supplies
11	Infallible Solutions
12	Jubio Kenya Limited
14	Advertage Advertising

15	Gyrah General Merchants
16	Verocity Merchants
17	Redmill Investments Limited
18	Transtrade Solutions
19	Fortinet Investment Company Ltd
20	Sarucha Industries Limited
21	Njemwa General Supplies
22	Gee Designs Limited
23	Victoria Furniture Limited
24	Fast Choice Ltd
25	Jokasaam Supplies
26	Northholt Enterprises
27	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd
28	Gimraa Office Supplies
29	Lakewood Construction Ltd

30	Twin Face Solutions
31	Veloce Traders Ltd
32	Viable Deco Solutions
33	Intedeco General Supplies Enterprises
34	Busnet Systems
35	Arigem Logistics
36	Rnzaki Limited
37	Hardwood Furnitures EA Ltd
38	Morning Star Agencies

Four (4) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as shown below.

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Jubio Kenya Limited	Did not attach copy of current Trading License Form PQ-4 (Past Experience) not filled, signed and stamped.
2	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Copy of Current Trading License shows effective date of 3/25/23 to be the same as the expiry date of 3/25/23.
3	Gimraa Office Supplies	Copy of Current Trading License attached shows effective date of 1 st Jan 2022 and expiry date of 31 st Dec 2022.
4	Rnzaki Limited	Form PQ-4 (Past Experience) not filled, signed and stamped. Did not attach copy of current Trading License

--	--	--

Seven (7) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation as stipulated below.

1	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	<p>No list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment OR have the Reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided. (Provide Evidence).</p> <p>No One-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or Quotation; including delivery and logistics plans.</p> <p>No Attach CVs in the format provided – and the Management and Proposed Staff</p>
2	Tilatech	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Filled the format of CV for proposed staff but did not attach any CV of proposed staff. Attached one L.P.O dated 28/4/2021. L.P.O dated 3/6/2019 and 23/3/2016 are not applicable.</p>
3	Jonjos Services & Supplies	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff L.P.O dated 15/1/2014, 16/6/2015 and 23/6/2018 are not applicable.</p>
4	Verocity Merchants	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years. L.P.O attached dated, 6 June 2019, 28 April 2017 are not applicable Did not attach CVs for Management and proposed staff. Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans.</p>

5	Njemwa General Supplies	Did not attach CVs for Management and proposed staff. Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans. Only one L.P.O dated 10/6/22 attached.
6	Jokasaam Supplies	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans. Did not attach CVs for Management and proposed staff.
7	Twin Face Solutions	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs for Management and proposed staff.

Twenty-six (26) bidders prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Janumet Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
2	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
3	Pinnacore Kenya Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
4	Trenit Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
5	Apicah Furniture Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Alpha General Suppliers	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Bigsell Supplies & Services	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
8	Infallible Solutions	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
9	Advertage Advertising	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
10	Gyrah General Merchants	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

11	Redmill Investments Limited	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
12	Transtrade Solutions	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
13	Fortinet Investment Company Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
14	Sarucha Industries Limited	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
15	Gee Designs Limited	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
16	Victoria Furniture Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
17	Fast Choice Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
18	Northholt Enterprises	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
19	Lakewood Construction Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
20	Veloce Traders Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
21	Viable Deco Solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
22	Intedeco General Supplies Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
23	Busnet Systems	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
24	Arigem Logistics	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
25	Hardwood Furnitures EA Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
26	Morning Star Agencies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Twenty-Six (26) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/8/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of kitchen utensils, Equipment and water Dispenser

Thirty-three (33) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Fedama Agencies
2	Vindel Limited
3	Marmich Supplies
4	Jubio Homeland Ltd
5	Jostael Enterprises
6	Reycm Suppliers
7	Paktimas Enterprises
8	Pieces Collection Ltd
9	Salford Merchants
10	Transtrade Solutions
11	Osfid Limited
12	Harrtech Investment Ltd
13	Njemwa General Supplies

14	Clenem Enterprises
15	Gemron Co Ltd
16	Rency General Merchants Ltd
17	Lakewood Construction Ltd
18	Nanas Enterprises
19	Karwan Ventures
20	Hopy Home Enterprises
21	Asefah Limited
22	Compass Press Ltd
23	Dakwa Agencies
24	Abundant Peculiar Life Agency
25	Donileen Company Ltd
26	Veloce Traders Ltd
27	Miam Technologies
28	Sir Jay Group Africa Ltd

29	Verocity Merchants
30	Janumet Limited
31	Josylink Limited
32	Nam Dar Caterers
33	Sypsan Enterprises

Three (3) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Jubio Homeland Ltd	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group.
2	Reycm Suppliers	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group.eg Attached youth AGPO instead of women.
3	Hopy Home Enterprises	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group.eg Attached youth AGPO instead of women

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of technical evaluation as stipulated below:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Clenem Enterprises	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3)years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.

Twenty- eight (28) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Vindel Limited	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Marmich Supplies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
3	Jostael Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
4	Paktimas Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Pieces Collection Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
6	Salford Merchants	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
7	Transtrade Solutions	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
8	Osfid Limited	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
9	Harrtech Investment Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

10	Njemwa General Supplies	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
11	Gemron Co Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
12	Rency General Merchants Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
13	Lakewood Construction Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
14	Nanas Enterprises	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
15	Karwan Ventures	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
16	Asefah Limited	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
17	Compass Press Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
18	Dakwa Agencies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
19	Abundant Peculiar Life Agency	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
20	Donileen Company Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
21	Veloce Traders Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
22	Miam Technologies	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
23	Sir Jay Group Africa Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
24	Verocity Merchants	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
25	Janumet Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

26	Josylink Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
27	Nam Dar Caterers	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
28	Sypsan Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Twenty-eight (28) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023/2025

TUK/PQ/A/9/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of Dry Split Wood and Charcoal.

Eight (8) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/ No.	Name Company
1	Being Creative Enterprises
2	Ronman supplies & Contractors Ltd
3	Shamera Enterprises
4	Robima Enterprises
5	Asefah Ltd
6	Seanik Enterprises Ltd
7	Mearash Beauty Trade
8	Greenarkim Enterprises

Four (4) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/ No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Being Creative Enterprises	Did not attach tax compliance certificate.
2	Seanik Enterprises Ltd	Did not attach copy of trading license Did not attach copy of tax compliance certificate
3	Mearash Beauty Trade	Did not attach copy of tax compliance certificate
4	Greenarkim Enterprises	Did not attach copy of trading license

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of Technical Evaluation as stipulated below.

S/No	Company Name	REASON(S)
1	Shamera Enterprises	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided Attached only one CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff

Three (3) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Ronman supplies & Contractors Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Robima Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
3	Asefah Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that three (3) bidders, be prequalified for Supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/10/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Fresh Vegetables and Fruits (AGPO Women)

Twelve (12) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Name Company
1	Charlspur Enterprises
2	Zaidy Africa Limited
3	Recy General Merchants Ltd
4	Donileen Company Ltd
5	Harrtech Investment Ltd
6	Lihawan Ventures Ltd
7	Fullerton Limited
8	Hopy Home Enterprises
9	Veloce Traders Ltd
10	Basara Supplies

11	Nanas Enterprises
12	Elburgon Ventures Ltd

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/ No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Fullerton Limited	Did not stamp and sign stamp forms PQ1,PQ2, PQ3,,PQ4,PQ5,PQ6 and PQ7
2	Hopy Home Enterprises	Did not attach copy of current trading license Did not attached (AGPO) Youth instead of the required (Women)

Seven (7) bidders were disqualified for lack of Technical Evaluation as stipulated below.

S/No	Company Name	REASON(S)
1	Charlspur Enterprises	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff

2	Zaidy Africa Limited	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did no attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff.</p>
3	Donileen Company Ltd	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did no attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff.</p>
4	Harrtech Investment Ltd	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did no attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff.</p>

5	Lihawan Ventures Ltd	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p>
6	Veloce Traders Ltd	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p>
7	Basara Supplies	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did no attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff.</p>

Three (3) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No.	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Recy General Merchants Ltd	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
2	Nanas Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
3	Elburgon Ventures Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that three (3) bidders, be prequalified for Supply for the Financial Year 2023/2025

TUK/PQ/A/11/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Uniforms, Protective Clothing and Footwear

Forty-three (43) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Josylink Limited
2	Jubio Homeland Ltd
3	Jostael Enterprise Ltd
4	Vindel Ltd
5	Lesvos Holdings Ltd
6	Veloce Traders Ltd
7	Mitav Enterprises Ltd
8	Pinnacore Kenya Ltd
9	Rency General Merchants Ltd

10	Berem Agencies
11	Plutocrat Ventures Ltd
12	Lakewood Construction Ltd
13	Sypsan Enterprises
14	Hudlink Optimum Enterprises Ltd
15	Nanas Enterprises
16	Janjos Services & Supplies
17	Janumet Ltd
18	Digital Print Media Ltd
19	Diverton Agencies
20	Marwal Enterprise
21	Tilatech Systems
22	Glamut Enterprise Ltd
23	Pridewear Ltd
24	Zaidy Africa Ltd
25	Transtrade Solutions
26	Mormich Supplies
27	Arigem Logistics
28	Njemwa General Supplies
29	Verocity Merchants
30	Columbus Printing Industries Ltd
31	Winter Suppliers

32	Advertage Advertising
33	Alida Suppliers Ltd
34	Asefah Ltd
35	Compass Press Ltd
36	Clenem Enterprise
37	Jokasaam Supplies
38	Karwan Ventures
39	Pieces Collection Ltd
40	Linmack Technologies
41	Dianney Business Solutions
42	The Castor Supplies Ltd
43	Bephik Consultants

Ten (10) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Josylink Limited	Did not attach a copy of current trading license.
2	Jubio Homeland Ltd	Did not attach copy of AGPO certificate as specified on the eligibility (Women). Did not attach a copy of current trading license.
3	Lesvos Holdings Ltd	Did not attach a copy of current trading license.
4	Rency General Merchants Ltd	Did not fill, sign and stamp all forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4, PQ5, PQ6, and PQ7.
5	Berem Agencies	Did not attach copy of AGPO certificate as specified on the eligibility (Women). Did not attach a copy of current trading license.

6	Tilatech Systems	Did not attach copy of AGPO certificate as specified on the eligibility (Women).
7	Glamut Enterprise Ltd	Did not attach copy of AGPO certificate as specified on the eligibility (Women).
8	Clenem Enterprise	Did not attach a copy of the Pin certificate.
9	Dianney Business Solutions	Did not attach a copy of current trading license.
10	Bephik Consultants	Did not attach a copy of current trading license.

Thirteen (13) bidders were disqualified for technical evaluation as stipulated below.

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Jostael Enterprise Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
2	Mitav Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years.
3	Hudlink Optimum Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
4	Janjos Services & Supplies	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
5	Diverton Agencies	Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
6	Zaidy Africa Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years.
7	Mormich Supplies	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years.

		Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
8	Njemwa General Supplies	Provided work experience for one year which is 2021. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
9	Verocity Merchants	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
10	Compass Press Ltd	Provided work experience for one year which is 2021. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
11	Jokasaam Supplies	Provided work experience for one year which is 2020. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
12	Linmack Technologies	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide one-page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded. Did not attach CV's of the management and proposed staff.
13	The Castor Supplies Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years.

Twenty (20) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Vindel Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
2	Veloce Traders Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
3	Pinnacore Kenya Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

4	Plutocrat Ventures Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Lakewood Construction Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
6	Sypsan Enterprises	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
7	Nanas Enterprises	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
8	Janumet Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
9	Digital Print Media Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
10	Marwal Enterprises	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6
11	Pridewear Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
12	Transtrade Solutions	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
13	Arigem Logistics	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
14	Columbus Printing Industries Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
15	Winter Suppliers	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
16	Advertage Advertising	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
17	Alida Suppliers Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
18	Asefah Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
19	Karwan Ventures	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
20	Pieces Collection Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Twenty (20) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/12/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Sports Uniforms and Equipment

Eleven (11) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Solucom Agencies
2	Sir Jay Group Africa Ltd
3	The Benns Company Ltd
4	Wonder - B- Double Investment
5	Morning Star Victorious Agency
6	Citydeep Kenya Ltd
7	Chemurto Solutions
8	Pine Tree East Africa
9	Gomroza Holdings Ltd
10	Bayete Holdings K Ltd

11	Jarumet Ltd
-----------	-------------

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Sir Jay Group Africa Ltd	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group eg PWD instead they attached Women group certificate
2	Jarumet Ltd	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group eg PWD instead they attached Women group certificate

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of technical evaluation as stipulated below:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Pine Tree East Africa	Did not attach Cvs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3)years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.

Eight (8) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Solucom Agencies	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	The Benns Company Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
3	Wonder - B- Double Investment	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2

4	Morning Star Victorious Agency	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Citydeep Kenya Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
6	Chemurto Solutions	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
7	Gomroza Holdings Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
8	Bayete Holdings K Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above eight (8) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/13/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Tissues paper and Serviettes

Forty-three (43) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Canei General Supplies
2	Josthink Ltd
3	Green Arkim Enterprises
4	Anena General Traders

5	JKF-Zee Enterprises
6	Jubio Homeland Ltd
7	Transtrade Solutions
8	Verocity Merchants
9	Kennex Suppliers Solutions
10	Nearash Beauty Trade
11	Ollyjoy Ltd
12	Seanik enterprises Ltd
13	Janumet Ltd
14	Diverton Agencies
15	Merietta Ventures
16	Salford Merchants
17	Broth Supplies Ltd
18	Basora Supplies
19	Paktimas Enterprises

20	Rawanji Women Ltd
21	The Castor Supplies Ltd
22	Imara Enterprises
23	Summer Time Enterprises
24	Veloce Traders Ltd
25	Havrtech Investment
26	Linmack Technologies
27	Asefah Ltd
28	Hopy Home Enterprises
29	Sypsan Enterprises
30	Nanas Enterprises
31	Ainley Investment
32	Fedema Agencies
33	Mwema Ltd
34	Karwan Ventures

35	Pieces Collection Ltd
36	Je Eco Bio Solutions
37	Donileen Company Ltd
38	Vindel Ltd
39	Mitav Enterprises
40	Dechrip East Africa Ltd
41	Charispar Enterprises
42	Jostael Enterprises
43	Alpha General supplies

Four (4) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Josthink Ltd	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group.
2	Jubio Homeland Ltd	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group
3	Nearash Beauty Trade	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group
4	Hopy Home Enterprises	Did not attach copy of current Access to Government procurement Opportunity (AGPO) for special group eg Attached youth instead of women.

Ten (10) bidders were disqualified for lack of technical evaluation as stipulated below:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Green Arkim Enterprises	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
2	Anena General Traders	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
3	Merietta Ventures	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done.
4	Salford Merchants	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.
5	Basora Supplies	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
6	Summer Time Enterprises	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.

		Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
7	Ainley Investment	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff Attached one year of assignment carried out instead of three.
8	Donileen Company Ltd	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
9	Jostael Enterprises	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff Attached one year of assignment carried out instead of three

Thirty (30) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Canei General Supplies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	JKF-Zee Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Transtrade Solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
4	Verocity Merchants	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
5	Kennex Suppliers Solutions	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
6	Ollyjoy Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

7	Seanik enterprises Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
8	Janumet Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
9	Diverton Agencies	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
10	Broth Supplies Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
11	Paktimas Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
12	Rawanji Women Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
13	The Castor Supplies Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
14	Imara Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
15	Veloce Traders Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
16	Havrtech Investment	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
17	Linmack Technologies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
18	Asefah Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
19	Sypsan Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
20	Nanas Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
21	Fedema Agencies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
22	Mwema Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

23	Karwan Ventures	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
24	Pieces Collection Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
25	Je Eco Bio Solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
26	Vindel Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
27	Mitav Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
28	Dechrip East Africa Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
29	Charispar Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
30	Alpha General supplies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Thirty (30) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/14/2023-2025 – Supply & Delivery of Hardware tools, Plumbing, Electrical Materials and Fittings

Forty-eight (48) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/ No.	Name Company
1	Elburgon Ventures Ltd
2	Janumet Ltd
3	Dakwa Agencies
4	Bigisell Supplies
5	Infallible Solutions

6	Jubio Kenya Ltd
7	Kistar Enterprises Ltd
8	Seanik Enterprises Ltd
9	Transtrade Solution
10	Strechcom Ventures Agencies
11	Premium Tools & Equipment (K) Ltd
12	Verocity Merchants
13	Laila Investment
14	Sir Jay Africa Ltd
15	Morning Star Victorious Agency
16	Crustancean Water Company Ltd
17	Gester (K) Limited
18	Davetech Agency Co Ltd
19	Shambaseline Investment
20	Chaomo Enterprises
21	Biikei Trading Ltd
22	Robima Enterprises
23	Lakewood Construction
24	Starpioneer Enterprises
25	Eventum Investments
26	Bentha Enterprises Ltd
27	Bemag Suppliers
28	Sun-U[Electricals Ltd
29	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd

30	Waka General Agencies
31	Apicalmed Ltd
32	Mavwal Enterprises
33	Mbuthy Distributors
34	Tilatech Systems
35	Khiger Traders
36	Jylite Investments
37	Tycax Enterprises
38	Napier Enterprises
39	Kontract Company Ltd
40	Itembwo Holdings Ltd
41	Sypsan Enterprises
42	Ryn-Wan General Suppliers
43	Myspace Global Consult Ltd
44	Alpha General; Suppliers
45	Footprint Enterprises Ltd
46	Cynbran Trading Company
47	Lihwan Ventures Ltd
48	Highrise Designers Co Ltd

Nine (9) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Jubio Kenya Ltd	Did not stamp to authenticate forms PQ1,PQ2,PQ3,PQ4PQ5PQ6 and PQ7
2	Sir Jay Africa Ltd	Did not attach copy of current trading license
3	Chaomo Enterprises	No single business permit/Trading license –Expired on 31-12-2023
4	Lakewood Construction	Single business permit/Trading license expired on 31-12-2022
5	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Tax compliance expired on 25-03-2023
6	Apicalmed Ltd	Tax compliance expired on 06-04-2023
7	Sypsan Enterprises	Tax compliance expired on 30-03-2023
8	Cynbran Trading Company	Tax compliance expired on 31-08-2022
9	Kistar Enterprises Ltd	Did not fill, sign and put company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7

Thirteen (13) bidders were disqualified for lack of technical evaluation as stipulated below:

	Company Name	REASON(S)
1	Transtrade Solution	Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done Attached only one CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (2) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.
2	Verocity Merchants	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done

3	Shambaseline Investment	<p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (2) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
4	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	<p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
5	Bemag Suppliers	<p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (1) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
6	Tilatech Systems	<p>Attached only one CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (2) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
7	Khiger Traders	<p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
8	Tycax Enterprises	<p>Attached only one CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff.</p> <p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>
9	Kontract Company Ltd	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (1) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.</p> <p>Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done</p>

10	Itembwo Holdings Ltd	Attached only one CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (2) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
11	Myspace Global Consult Ltd	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
12	Lihwan Ventures Ltd	Attached only one CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Provided LPO’s from just one Institution- (University of Nairobi). Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done
13	Davetech Agency Co Ltd	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done

Twenty-six (26) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Elburgon Ventures Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
2	Janumet Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
3	Dakwa Agencies	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
4	Bigisell Supplies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
5	Infallible Solutions	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
6	Seanik Enterprises Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
7	Strechcom Ventures Agencies	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
8	Premium Tools & Equipment (K) Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
9	Laila Investment	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
10	Morning Star Victorious Agency	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
11	Crustacean Water Company Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25

12	Gester (K) Limited	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
13	Biikei Trading Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
14	Robima Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
15	Starpioneer Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
16	Eventum Investments	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
17	Sun-U Electricals Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
18	Waka General Agencies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
19	Mavwal Enterprises	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
20	Mbuthy Distributors	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
21	Jylite Investments	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
22	Napier Enterprises	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
23	Ryn-Wan General Suppliers	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
24	Alpha General; Suppliers	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
25	Footprint Enterprises Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
26	Highrise Designers Co Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that twenty-six (26) bidders, be prequalified for the Supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/15/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of Electrical Main Boards, Wires and equipment

Eight (8) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

SNo	Name Company
1	Sunsol Technologies Ltd
2	Jak Liteline Limited
3	Sypsan Enterprises
4	Nansana Investment

5	Moring Star Victorious Ltd
6	Lakewood Construction Ltd
7	Iblo Enterprises Ltd
8	Kontract Company Ltd

Eight (8) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Sunsol Technologies Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	Jak Liteline Limited	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
3	Sypsan Enterprises	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
4	Nansana Investment	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
5	Moring Star Victorious Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Lakewood Construction Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
7	Iblo Enterprises Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
8	Kontract Company Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Eight (8) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/16/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of Various Standard Computer Software and Applications

Eighteen (18) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1	Urban Strength Investment Ltd
2	Computerlink Distributors Ltd
3	Silver Data System Limited
4	Sunsol Technologies Ltd
5	Oakar Services Ltd
6	Os Labs Limited
7	Pluton ICT Limited
8	Maclean's Limited
9	Next Technologies
10	Jaware Agencies
11	Diva Engineering Limited
12	Computerways Ltd

13	Mahil Enterprises
14	Nameia Solutions Ltd
15	Evrach Marketing & Supplies
16	Africa Perfection Ltd
17	Netco Limited
18	Morning Star Victoria

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as shown below.

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Oakar Services Ltd	Attached copy of trading License which expired on 31 st December 2021.
2	Nameia Solutions Ltd	Did not filled, signed and stamped prequalification 1 to 7 forms.

Fifteen (15) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Urban Strength Investment Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	Computerlink Distributors Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Silver Data System Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
4	Sunsol Technologies Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

5	Os Labs Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Pluton ICT Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Maclean's Limited	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
8	Next Technologies	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
9	Jaware Agencies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
10	Computerways Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
11	Diva Engineering Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
12	Mahil Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
13	Evrach Marketing & Supplies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
14	Africa Perfection Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
15	Netco Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
16	Morning Star Victoria	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Sixteen (16) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/17/2023-2025 – Supply & Installation of Structured Cabling, LAN Materials and Equipment

Fourteen (14) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Maclen's Ltd
2	Techies Kenya Ltd
3	Sunsol Technologies Ltd

4	Silver data Systems Ltd
5	Double-net Technologies Ltd
6	Teleworks Ltd
7	Diva Engineering Ltd
8	Silicon Hub Ltd
9	Next Technologies
10	Pluton ICT Ltd
11	Computerways Ltd
12	Solman Enterprises Ltd
13	Netco Ltd
14	Infallible Solutions

Fourteen (14) bidders were prequalified for mandatory requirements and technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Maclen's Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
2	Techies Kenya Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Sunsol Technologies Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
4	Silver data Systems Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
5	Double-net Technologies Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Teleworks Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
7	Diva Engineering Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

8	Silicon Hub Ltd	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6
9	Next Technologies	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
10	Pluton ICT Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
11	Computerways Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
12	Solman Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
13	Netco Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
14	Infallible Solutions	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Fourteen (14) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/18/2023-2025 – Specialized Maintenance, Repair & Servicing of Firefighting Equipment

Eight (8) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Sypsan Enterprises
2	Nam Dar Caterers
3	Benbtex Enterprises
4	Diva Engineering Ltd

5	Sunsol Technologies Ltd
6	Silver Data Systems Ltd
7	Saava Engineering Ltd
8	Infallible Solutions

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of Technical evaluation as stipulated below.

1	Benbtex Enterprises	<p>The bidder did not Provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment OR have the Reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided. (Provide Evidence).</p> <p>The bidder did not outline in One-page how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or Quotation; including delivery and logistics plans</p> <p>Did not Attach CVs in the format provided – and the Management and Proposed Staff.</p>
---	---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Seven (7) bidders were prequalified for mandatory and technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Sypsan Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Nam Dar Caterers	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
3	Diva Engineering Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

4	Sunsol Technologies Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
5	Silver Data Systems Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
6	Saava Engineering Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
7	Infallible Solutions	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Seven (7) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/A/19/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of General Building Materials, Sand, Ballast Hardwar and Building Stones

Thirty-four (34) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Kontract Company Ltd
2	Sypsan Enterprises
3	Janjos Services & Supplies
4	Lakewood Construction Ltd
5	Joseph Engineering & Supplies
6	Lihawa Ventures Ltd
7	Itembwo Holdings

8	Topmark General
9	Janumet Limited
10	Redmill Investments Ltd
11	Seanik Enterprises Ltd
12	Sunsol Technologies Ltd
13	Gester K Limited
14	Chaomo Enterprises
15	Stepion Group Africa Ltd
16	Infallible Solutions
17	Transtrade Solutions
18	Premium Tools & Equipments
19	Biikel Trading Limited
20	Lawcar Enterprises Ltd
21	Fortinct Investment Company
22	Verocity Merchants
23	Tilatech Systems
24	Ambit Energy Capacity
25	Atlas Quality Ltd

26	Waka General Agencies
27	Bagete Holdings Ltd
28	Jicho Designs Africa
29	Sharnbaseline Investments
30	Rawinji Woman Building & Civil
31	Elburgon Ventures Ltd
32	Daisa Investments
33	Bentha Enterprises
34	Apicalmed Limited

Fifteen (15) bidders were disqualified for lack of Mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Sypsan Enterprises	Didn't attached copy of current Tax Compliance certificate
2	Janjos Services & Supplies	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization
3	Lakewood Construction Ltd	Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 31/12/2022 Did not attach copy of certificate of Registration/Incorporation instead attached copy of AGPO Women group.
4	Topmark General	Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 22/2/2023
5	Janumet Limited	Did not put Company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7

6	Seanik Enterprises Ltd	Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 20/05/2022
7	Chaomo Enterprises	Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 31/12/2022
8	Stepion Group Africa Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not put Company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7
9	Lawcar Enterprises Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Attached copy of current Tax Compliance certificate which expired on 16/09/2022
10	Tilatech Systems	Copy of current trading license is inconsistence date of issue 26/02/2023 and effective date is on 16/3/2023
11	Ambit Energy Capacity	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not put Company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7
12	Bagete Holdings Ltd	Attached copy of current trading License which expired on 25/03/2023
13	Jicho Designs Africa	Didn't attached copy of current trading License
14	Rawinji Woman Building & Civil	The copy of current trading License is fake dated 23/10/202.... Receipt Number 190128013647400
15	Daisa Investments	Did not fill, sign and put company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7

Four (4) bidders were disqualified for lack of Technical Evaluation as stipulated below.

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Transtrade Solutions	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p>
2	Verocity Merchants	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p>
3	Sharnbaseline Investments	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p>
4	Bentha Enterprises	<p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the re3ference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p>

Fifteen (15) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below:

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Kontract Company Ltd	35	35	35	3.5	3.5	35	35	35	35
2	Joseph Engineering & Supplies	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
3	Lihawa Ventures Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
4	Lihawa Ventures Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
5	Redmill Investments Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
6	Sunsol Technologies Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
7	Gester K Limited	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
8	Infallible Solutions	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
9	Premium Tools & Equipment	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
10	Biikel Trading Limited	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
11	Fortinct Investment Company	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
12	Atlas Quality Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
13	Waka General Agencies	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
14	Elburgon Ventures Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
15	Apicalmed Limited	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above fifteen (15) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/A/20/2023/2025: Supply of Spares, Maintenance, Calibration and Repair of Weighing Scales.

One (1) bidder responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Apicalmed Limited

The only bidder (1) passed the mandatory requirements hence proceed to the technical evaluation and scored as shown below.

S/No.	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Apicalmed Limited	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/1/2023/2025: Provision of Audio-Visual Live Streaming Production Editing Services, Video Coverage, Public Address System and Related Services

Seven (7) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Company Name
1	Jicho Designs Africa
2	Mosound Entertainment Company Ltd
3	Testimony Creatives Ltd

4	Capital Communication Solutions Int. Ltd
5	Pillar Audio Visual Services
6	Switch Media Ltd
7	Silver Data Systems Ltd

Seven (7) bidders prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Jicho Designs Africa	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
2	Mosound Entertainment Company Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Testimony Creatives Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
4	Capital Communication Solutions Int. Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
5	Pillar Audio Visual Services	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Switch Media Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Silver Data Systems Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above seven (7) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/2/2023/2025: Provision of Printing of Corporate Newsletter, Newspaper, Special Magazines, Printed Stationery, Calendar, Diaries, Cards, Brochures, Fliers, Banners, Graphic Design Services, Corporate Branding and Signage Services and Other Promotional Materials.

Thirty-nine (39) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Company Name
1	Soloh Worldwide International Ltd
2	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd
3	Fineprint Enterprises Ltd
4	Being Creative Enterprises
5	Zadili Ventures
6	Fortinent Investment Company Ltd
7	Njemwa General Supplies
8	Bentha Enterprises Ltd
9	Better Bill Ltd
10	Linemac Enterprises Ltd
11	Redmill Investments Ltd

12	Sawariya General Supplies Ltd
13	Magfree Enterprises Ltd
14	Janumet Ltd
15	Kamthoni Tech.
16	Kippo (K) Ltd
17	BanyaTech Digital Solutions
18	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd
19	Mahil Enterprises
20	Reytoria General Supplies
21	Plutocrat Ventures Ltd
22	Fortune Printers & Stationers
23	Advertage Advertising Ltd
24	Jaware Agencies
25	Janjos Services & Supplies
26	TilaTech Systems

27	African Perfection Ltd
28	Evrah Marketing & Supplies
29	Pinnacore Printers Ltd
30	Riokel General Supplies
31	Maxton Media International Ltd
32	Sypsan Enterprises Ltd
33	Northholt Enterprises Ltd
34	Maggiore Investments
35	Mattel Ltd
36	Gevkun Services Ltd
37	Grandlens company ltd
38	Digital media print
39	Stephen Logistics ltd

Five (5) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Zadili Ventures	Did not fill prequalification form pq4, pq6 as per required in the mandatory requirements
2	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	Did not fill pq4,pq 6 as per required in the mandatory requirements
3	Fortune Printers & Stationers	Did not fill prequalification 6 form as per required in the mandatory requirements
4	Mattel Ltd	Did not fill prequalification 4, pq5, pq6.forms as per required in the mandatory requirements
5	Gevkun Services Ltd	Did not fill prequalification 2, pq4, pq6.forms as per required in the mandatory requirements

Three (3) bidders were disqualified for lack of technical evaluation as stipulated below

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Njemwa General Supplies	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3)years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment.
2	Nzouni Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment. Only attached one CV
3	TilaTech Systems	Did not attach CVs in the format provided –and the management and proposed staff. Did not illustrate on how the delivery will be done.

Thirty (30) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Soloh Worldwide International Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Fineprint Enterprises Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
4	Being Creative Enterprises	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
5	Fortinent Investment Company Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
6	Better Bill Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Linemac Enterprises Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
8	Redmill Investments Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
9	Sawariya General Supplies Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
10	Magfree Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
11	Janumet Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
12	Kamthoni Tech.	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
13	Kippo (K) Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
14	BanyaTech Digital Solutions	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
15	Mahil Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
16	Reytoria General Supplies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
17	Plutocrat Ventures Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

18	Advertage Advertising Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
19	Jaware Agencies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
20	Janjos Services & Supplies	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
21	African Perfection Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
22	Evrah Marketing & Supplies	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
23	Pinnacore Printers Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
24	Riokel General Supplies	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
25	Maxton Media International Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
26	Sypsan Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
27	Northholt Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
28	Maggiore Investments	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
29	Grandlens company ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
30	Digital media print	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above thirty (30) bidders be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023/2025

TUK/PQ/B/3/2023-2025 – Provision of Motor Vehicles Spare Parts and Batteries, Tyres/Tubes Flaps and Garages for Servicing, Repair and Maintenance of Motor Vehicle

Ten (10) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Mustral General Traders Ltd
2	Tire World Ltd
3	Backup Autospares Ltd
4	Scania East Africa Ltd
5	Teleworks Ltd
6	Netline Technologies Ltd
7	Bayete Holdings K Ltd
8	Bigisell Supplies and Services
9	Janjos Services and Supplies
10	First Fit Auto Care Ltd

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Scania East Africa Ltd	Did not provide a copy of certificate of registration/Incorporation.
2	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Did not fill, sign and stamp forms PQ2, PQ3, PQ4 and PQ6.

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of technical evaluation requirements as stipulated below:

No.	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Tire World Ltd	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide CV's of the management and proposed staff.
2	Janjos Services and Supplies	Did not provide evidence of assignments carried out in the last 3 years. Did not provide CV's of the management and proposed staff.

Six (6) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Mustral General Traders Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	Backup Autospares Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Teleworks Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
4	Netline Technologies Ltd	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2

5	Bigisell Supplies and Services	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
6	First Fit Auto Care Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Six (6) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/4/2023-2025 – Provision of Air Travel and Ticketing Services.

Thirty-seven (37) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Longrock Tours & Travel Ltd
2	Outdays Tours & Travel
3	Elka Air Travel Tours Ltd
4	Rosma Travel Ltd
5	Bigman Travel & Holidays Ltd
6	Emela Safaris & Travel Ltd
7	Silver Africa Tours & Safaris Ltd
8	Premier Safaris (K) Ltd

9	Ride In Agencies Ltd
10	Priceline Travel
11	Realedge Africa Ventures
12	Naicy Travel Agencies

Two (2) Bidders were disqualified for lack of Technical evaluation as stipulated below.

1	Bigman Travel & Holidays Ltd	The Bidder did not Attach CVs in the format provided – and the Management and Proposed Staff
2	Priceline Travel	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans The bidder did not attach the CV’s for proposed Management

Ten (10) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Longrock Tours & Travel Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
2	Outdays Tours & Travel	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
3	Elka Air Travel Tours Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
4	Rosma Travel Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2

5	Emela Safaris & Travel Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
6	Silver Africa Tours & Safaris Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
7	Premier Safaris (K) Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
8	Ride In Agencies Ltd	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6
9	Realedge Africa Ventures	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
10	Naicy Travel Agencies	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above ten (10) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/5/2023/2025: Provision of Environmental Management Audit Consultancy Services

Only one (1) bidder responded, passed mandatory requirements and technical evaluation as per the score stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Company Name	Ev1	EV2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Millenium Management Consultant Ltd	25	25	25		25	25	25	25	25

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/6/2023/2025: Provision & Development of Procurement Manual Services & Systems

Only one (1) bidder responded, passed mandatory requirement and technical evaluation as per the score stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Company Name	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	AML Associates Certified Public Accountants	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PA/B/7/2023/2025: Provision of Boiler & Equipment Inspection Services / Specialized Maintenance and Repair of Boilers

Two (2) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Company Name
1	Saava Engineering Ltd
2	Wilest Energy Solutions

All Two (2) bidders prequalified mandatory requirements and technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Saava Engineering Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
2	Wilest Energy Solutions	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Two (2) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/8/2023-2025 – Provision of Sanitary Bins Services (AGPO Women)

Ten (10) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Name Company
1	Dochrip East Africa Ltd
2	Linie Enterprises Ltd
3	Hopy Home Enterprises Ltd
4	Alpha General Suppliers
5	Ice Clean Care Group
6	Pipoint Hygiene Services Ltd
7	Ryn-wan General Suppliers
8	Jepco Services Limited
9	Rency General Merchants
10	Biceven East Africa Limited

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Hopy Home Enterprises Ltd	Attached youth certificate instead of Women certificate as per the requirements
2.	Rency General Merchants	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization

Eight (8) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below:

S/No.	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Dochrip East Africa Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
2	Linie Enterprises Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2
3	Alpha General Suppliers	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
4	Ice Clean Care Group	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Pipoint Hygiene Services Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
6	Ryn-wan General Suppliers	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6	28.6
7	Jepco Services Limited	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
8	Biceven East Africa Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above eight (8) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/9/2023-2025 – Provision of Medical Waste Disposal and Hazardous Materials

Three (3) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1	Dechrip East Africa Ltd
2	Tinamaan East Africa
3	Robajoh Enterprises

Two (2) bidders were disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Tinamaan East Africa	Did not attach a copy of Current Trading License.
2	Robajoh Enterprises	Did not attach a membership or dealer certificate in the area of specialization.

One (1) bidder was prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Dechrip East Africa Ltd	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2	33.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/10/2023-2025 – Provision of Dry Cleaning & Laundry for Medical Linen

No Bidder show up

TUK/PQ/B/11/2023-2025 – Provision of Insurance Services

Three (3) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	CIC General Insurance Ltd
2	Acentria Insurance Brokers
3	Imoth Insurance Brokers

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	CIC General Insurance Ltd	Did not attach a membership or dealer certificate in the area of specialization, instead attached a letter dated 4 th August 2011.

Two (2) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Acentria Insurance Brokers	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
2	Imoth Insurance Brokers	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above two (2) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/12/2023-2025 –Provision of fumigation and pest control services

Seven (7) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Company Name
1	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd
2	The Benns Company Ltd
3	Gimroza Holdings Ltd
4	Jepco Services & Renovations Ltd
5	Pinpoint Hygiene Services Ltd
6	Solucom Agencies
7	Chebusement Enterprises

All Seven (7) bidders were prequalified for mandatory requirements, technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
2	The Benns Company Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Gimroza Holdings Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

4	Jepco Services & Renovations Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Pinpoint Hygiene Services Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
6	Solucom Agencies	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
7	Chebusement Enterprises	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Seven (7) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/13/2023-2025 – Provision of Garbage collection services

Eight (8) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Company Name
1	Mauna East Africa Cleaning
2	Biceven East Africa Ltd
3	Dechrip East Africa Ltd
4	Topmarkc General Construction Ltd

5	Jicho Designs Africa
6	Jepco Services & Renovators Ltd
7	Tinamaan East Africa
8	Ponya Garbage Cleaning Services

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Jicho Designs Africa	Did not fill, sign and stump forms pq1 to pq7 forms.

Seven (7) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Mauna East Africa Cleaning	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
2	Biceven East Africa Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
3	Dechrip East Africa Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
4	Topmarkc General Construction Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Jepco Services & Renovators Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

6	Tinamaan East Africa	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
7	Ponya Garbage Cleaning Services	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above seven (7) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/14/2023-2025 – Specialized Maintenance & Repair of Electric Water Pumps

Two (2) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1	Saava Engineering Ltd
2	Davetech Agency Company Ltd

Two (2) bidders were prequalified for mandatory requirements, technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Saava Engineering Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
2	Davetech Agency Company Ltd	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6	26.6

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Two (2) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/15/2023-2025 – Specialized Maintenance & Repair of Laboratory Equipments and Tools

Four (4) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Labtech Electronic Services East Africa Ltd
2	Benica East Africa Ltd
3	Aquachem Technologies Ltd
4	Apicalmed Ltd

Four (4) bidders were prequalified for mandatory requirements, technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Labtech Electronic Services East Africa Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
2	Benica East Africa Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Aquachem Technologies Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
4	Apicalmed Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Four (4) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/16/2023/2025: Provision of Specialized Maintenance & Repair of Gas, Electric Cookers and Ovens

One (1) bidder responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Saava Engineering Ltd

Only one (1) bidder was prequalified for mandatory requirements, technical Evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No.	Company Name	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Saava Engineering Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/17/2023/2025: Provision of Specialized Maintenance of Kitchen Equipment

Only one (1) bidder responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Company Name
1	Serrem Solution

Only one (1) bidder was prequalified for mandatory requirements, technical Evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No.	Company Name	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Serrem Solution	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/18/2023-2025 – Specialized Maintenance, Repair & Servicing of Firefighting Equipment

Seven (7) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No	Name Company
1	Itembwo Holdings Ltd
2	Elnax Enterprises
3	Hexa Engineering Company Ltd
4	Gurei Safety Ltd
5	Sidian Contractors
6	Heloja Enterprises
7	Phontain Controls K Ltd

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Itembwo Holdings Ltd	Did not attach copy of AGPO certificate as specified on the eligibility (Youth).

Six (6) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Elnax Enterprises	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	Hexa Engineering Company Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
3	Gurei Safety Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2
4	Sidian Contractors	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2	38.2
5	Heloja Enterprises	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
6	Phontain Controls K Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Six (6) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

TUK/PQ/B/19/2023/2025: Provision of Specialized Maintenance of Furniture

Two (2) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

No.	Company Name
1	Kasco Engineering Contractors
2	Nozebox Merchants Ltd

One (1) bidder was disqualified for Technical evaluation as stipulated below:

1	Kasco Engineering Contractors	<p>The bidder did not Provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and references to which the firm has offered similar assignment OR have the Reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided. (Provide Evidence).</p> <p>The bidder did not outline in One-page how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or Quotation; including delivery and logistics plans</p> <p>Did not Attach CVs in the format provided – and the Management and Proposed Staff.</p>
---	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Only one (1) bidder was prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

No.	Company Name	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Nozebox Merchants Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above one (1) bidder, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025

TUK/PQ/B/20/2023-2025 – Provision of Assets Valuation Services/Tagging/Asset Verification & Management System Coding

Seven (7) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No	Name Company
1	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd
2	Progressive Realtors Ltd
3	TransCountry Valuers Ltd
4	Machogu Surveying Consultancy Ltd
5	SEC & M. CO. Ltd
6	Ardhitech Consulting Limited
7	University of Nairobi Enterprises and Services Ltd

One (1) bidder was disqualified for lack of mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Did not fill, sign and stamp forms PQ2, PQ3, PQ4 and PQ6 forms

Six (6) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Progressive Realtors Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
2	TransCountry Valuers Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
3	Machogu Surveying Consultancy Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
4	SEC & M. CO. Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
5	Ardhitech Consulting Limited	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6
6	University of Nairobi Enterprises and Services Ltd	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6	36.6

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above Six (6) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

**TUK/PQ/C/1/2023-2025 – Building and Civil Contractors/Structural/Electrical and Plumbing Works
(Small Works)**

Eighth - (80) bidders responded as stipulated on the table below:

S/No.	Company Name
1	Resintech Construction Ltd
2	Diamine Construction Ltd
3	Gimroza Holdings
4	Mellach Enterprises Ltd
5	Heloja Enterprises
6	Berakah Ark Holdings Ltd
7	Nabetno Holdings Ltd
8	Topmarkc General
9	Janjos Services & Supplies
10	Silicon Hub limited
11	Norwood Interior Design Contractors
12	Netline Technologies Ltd
13	Winomed International Venture Ltd
14	Beckan Construction Firm Ltd
15	Gyran General Merchant

16	Rawinji Women Building & Civil Eng. Construction Limited
17	Linemac Enterprise Ltd
18	Go-Auto Systems Ltd
19	Fortinet Investment Co. Ltd
20	Imoth East Africa Ltd
21	Atacon Construction Ltd
22	Saava Engineering Ltd
23	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd
24	Danhill Investment Ltd
25	Cliffmax Kenya Enterprises Ltd
26	Walsons Contractors
27	Camtec Investment Ltd
28	Avenida Ltd
29	Hudcom Infrastructure
30	Hudlink Optimum Enterprises Ltd
31	Jak Liteline Ltd

32	Elnax Enterprises
33	Den Global Associates
34	Baton Ventures Ltd
35	Elburgon Ventures Ltd
36	Ecofar Services Ltd
37	Nansana Investment Ltd
38	Connect Contractors & Renovators
39	Lakewood Construction Ltd
40	Brod Africa Ltd
41	Kluger Traders Ltd
42	Ribara Services Ltd
43	Kamandu East Africa Ltd
44	Alpha General Suppliers
45	Hamerkop Creatives Ltd
46	Monsoon Drillers Ltd
47	Asoro Plus Construction Building & Civil Eng.Co. Ltd

48	Selborne Enterprises
49	Myrrh Paints & Coatings Ltd
50	Oliver K. Ltd
51	Chested Constructions Ltd
52	Kistar Enterprises Ltd
53	Laneta Services Ltd
54	Brinam Enterprises Ltd
55	Ojiem & Nyaonga Consulting Engineers (K) Ltd
56	Reicons Ltd
57	Wigot General Supplies Ltd
58	Parametric Engineering Solutions Ltd
59	Imperial Masters Ltd
60	Bentha Enterprises Ltd
61	Apicalmed Ltd
62	Ronman Supplies & Contractors Ltd
63	Optimal Investments Ltd

64	Expert Civil Work
65	Davetech Agency Ltd
66	Sun Up Electricals Ltd
67	Cadimage Construction Ltd
68	Latent Engineers Ltd
69	Kontract Company Ltd
70	Stallion Construction Ltd
71	Plan Contractors Ltd
72	Kasco Engineering Contractors Ltd
73	Saint Boss Industries Ltd
74	Decora Enterprises Ltd
75	Sadu Agencies
76	Aghen Enterprises Ltd
77	Rooftech (K) Ltd
78	Daykoh Enterprises
79	Easy Build Solutions Ltd
80	Jak Lifline Limited

Fourteen (14) bidders were disqualified for lack of Mandatory requirements as follows:

	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Gimroza Holdings	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not fill form prequalification 2, prequalification 4 and prequalification 6.
2	Janjos Services & Supplies	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA
3	Rawinji Women Building & Civil Eng. Construction Limited	The trading License attached was not readable
4	Bayete Holdings (K) Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA
5	Hudlink Optimum Enterprises Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA
6	Asoro Plus Construction Building & Civil Eng.Co. Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License
7	Brinam Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and Logistic plans
8	Ojiem & Nyaonga Consulting Engineers (K) Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA Did not attach copy of current Tax Compliance Certificate

9	Wigot General Supplies Ltd	Did not put Company rubber in forms PQ1, PQ2, PQ3, PQ4. PQ5,PQ6, and PQ7
10	Parametric Engineering Solutions Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License
11	Bentha Enterprises Ltd	The copy of Tax Compliance Certificate attached saw date of valid 7/9/2023 and no date of issue Did not attach copy of PIN number and copy of VAT certificate
12	Optimal Investments Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA
13	Saint Boss Industries Ltd	Didn't attached copy of current trading License Customer service office notifying that the certificate permit payment is due at the cash office by 31 may 2022.
14	Rooftech (K) Ltd	Did not attach membership in a professional body/Dealer certificate in the area of specialization eg NCA

Seven (7) bidders were disqualified for lack of Technical Evaluation as shown below.

S/No	BIDDERS' NAME	REASON(S)
1	Mellach Enterprises Ltd	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided
2	Berakah Ark Holdings Ltd	Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff
3	Nabetno Holdings Ltd	Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or

		<p>have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p>
4	Ribara Services Ltd	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p>
5	Brinam Enterprises Ltd	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p>
6	Latent Engineers Ltd	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided</p> <p>Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff</p> <p>Did not provide one- page outline how the firm will perform a contract once awarded a tender or quotation including delivery and logistic plans</p>
7	Sadu Agencies	<p>Did not provide list of assignment carried out in the last (3) years and a list of clients and reference to which the firm has offered similar assignment or</p>

		have the reference page stamped and signed by Client as proof of supply in the format provided Did not attach CVs in the format provided and the Management and proposed staff
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Sixty (60) bidders were prequalified for technical evaluation and the scores are as stipulated below.

S/No	Name of Bidders	Ev1	Ev2	Ev3	Ev4	Ev5	Ev6	Ev7	Ev8	Score
1	Resintech Construction Ltd	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28.2	28..2	28..2	28
2	Diamine Construction Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
3	Heloja Enterprises	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
4	Topmarkc General	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
5	Silicon Hub limited	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
6	Norwood Interior Design Contractors	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
7	Netline Technologies Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
8	Winomed International Venture Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
9	Beckan Construction Firm Ltd	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
10	Gyran General Merchant	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
11	Linemac Enterprise Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
12	Go-Auto Systems Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
13	Fortinet Investment Co. Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
14	Imoth East Africa Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
15	Atacon Construction Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
16	Saava Engineering Ltd	35	35	5	35	35	35	35	35	35

17	Danhill Investment Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
18	Cliffmax Kenya Enterprises Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
19	Walsons Contractors	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
20	Camtec Investment Ltd	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
21	Avenida Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
22	Hudcom Infrastructure	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
23	Jak Liteline Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
24	Elnax Enterprises	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
25	Den Global Associates	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
26	Baton Ventures Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
27	Elburgon Ventures Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
28	Ecofar Services Ltd	35	35	35	3.5	3.5	35	35	35	35
29	Nansana Investment Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
30	Connect Contractors & Renovators	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
31	Lakewood Construction Ltd	35	35	35	35	3.5	35	35	35	35
32	Brod Africa Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
33	Kluger Traders Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
34	Kamandu East Africa Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
35	Alpha General Suppliers	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
36	Hamerkop Creatives Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
37	Monsoon Drillers Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
38	Selborne Enterprises	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

39	Myrrh Paints & Coatings Ltd	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
40	Oliver K. Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	40
41	Chested Constructions Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
42	Kistar Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	40
43	Laneta Services Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
44	Reicons Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
45	Imperial Masters Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
46	Apicalmed Ltd35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
47	Ronman Supplies & Contractors Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
48	Expert Civil Work	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
49	Davetech Agency Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
50	Sun Up Electricals Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
51	Cadimage Construction Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
52	Kontract Company Ltd	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
53	Stallion Construction Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
54	Plan Contractors Ltd	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2	43.2
55	Kasco Engineering Contractors Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
56	Decora Enterprises Ltd	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
57	Aghen Enterprises Ltd	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
58	Daykoh Enterprises	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
59	Easy Build Solutions Ltd	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3
60	Jak Lifline Limited	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35

DUE DELIGENCE

RANDOM SAMPLING OF SUPPLIERS

The Evaluation committee as an addition measure did a random visit to a section of prospective bidders in which seven (7) in critical categories were visited to ascertain few facts. All the bidders visited were found by the committee to be competent except one bidder **M/S Tilatech Systems** who applied for **TUK/PQ/A/7/2023-2025 – Supply and Delivery of General Office and Domestic Furniture Furnishing and Fittings** who did not understand what they bided for.

Overall Recommendation

The Committee therefore recommended that the above sixty (60) bidders, be prequalified to supply for the Financial Years 2023-2025.

Evaluation Committee Members

S/NO	EVALUATOR NAME	DESIGNATION	SIGNATURE
1	Prof Jackson Odote	Chairperson	
2	Mr. Stephen Nyamweya	Member	
3	Ms. Pacific Diang'a	Member	
4	Ms. Loreen Odondi	Member	
5	Ms. Christine Kemuma	Member	
6	Mr. Austin Otieno	Member	
7	Mr. Philip Oduor	Member	
8	Ms. Hellen Mumbo	Secretary	
9	Mr. Wilberforce Kokonya	Member	